

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,195 | 09/26/2005 | Michael Baentsch | CH920020034US1 | 8361 |
| | 68168 7590 10/16/2009 MICHAEL BUCHENHORNER, P.A. | | EXAMINER | |
| 8540 SW 83 S | | | HENNING, MATTHEW T | |
| SUITE 100 MIAMI, FL 33143 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2431 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/16/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael@buchenhorner.com ana@buchenhorner.com AnaBuch@gmail.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | 10/532,195 | BAENTSCH ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | MATTHEW T. HENNING | 2431 | | |

| | MATTHEW T. HENNING | 2431 | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 9/25/2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | | |
| ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | OC(a) and the annualist | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and be been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, have been present a plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | | |
| | diance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t | iled within two months | of the date of | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | · | . , | | | | | | | |
| ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | cted claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | imals filed amandmar | et concellna the | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- | 7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: None. | | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 42-45</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | to provide a | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | | |
| Note: The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. ⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | /Matthew T Henning/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431 | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The amendments broaden the claim language, and as such would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the applicants' arguments with respect to the independent claims, the examiner does not find the arguments persuasive as they depend on the amendments which have not been entered.

Regarding the applicants' argument with respect to claim 42, the examiner does not find the argument persuasive. While Seth-Smith does not directly teach tracking cosess codes'; Seth Smith does teach tracking consumable data (credit) which ours out needs to be refilled, and that by tracking the usage of the consumable data (credit) the user can be alerted when the data is running low such that it can be refilled. The ordinary person skilled in the art would see the analogous nature of tracking credit and tracking access codes, both of which are consumable, and thus would realize that the teachings of Seth-Smith can be applied to track access code usage in order to allow the access codes to be updated when they have nearly run out. Therefore, the examiner does not find the argument persuasive, and has maintained the rejection.